Extreme multistability in a chemical model system
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Coupled systems can exhibit an unusual kind of multistability, namely, the coexistence of infinitely many attractors for a given set of parameters. This extreme multistability is demonstrated to occur in coupled chemical model systems with various types of coupling. We show that the appearance of extreme multistability is associated with the emergence of a conserved quantity in the long-term limit. This conserved quantity leads to a “slicing” of the state space into manifolds corresponding to the value of the conserved quantity. The state space “slices” develop as \( t \to \infty \) and there exists at least one attractor in each of them. We discuss the dependence of extreme multistability on the coupling and on the mismatch of parameters of the coupled systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear dynamical systems exhibit a rich variety of long-term behaviors, such as stationary points, periodic and quasiperiodic oscillations, and chaotic behavior. Various bifurcations and transitions are known, and their dependence on one or more control parameters provides a characterization of the complex dynamics of a system [1,2]. Most of the work devoted to dynamical systems theory deals with systems having one or only a few attractors for a given set of parameters. However, many physical and biological systems are known in which there are a multitude of coexisting attractors [3–5]. Examples include systems from laser physics [6,7], semiconductor physics [8,9], chemistry [10,11], neuroscience [12], and population dynamics [13]. Multistability is, in fact, a rather common phenomenon that is found in completely different classes of systems, such as weakly dissipative systems [14,15], coupled systems [16–18], and systems with time delay [19–21].

An example of extreme multistability, a system with an infinite number of coexisting attractors, was reported by Sun et al. [22]. Two coupled Lorenz systems were studied, in which all parameters of the coupled system were held fixed and only the initial conditions were varied. Changes in initial conditions or perturbations cause the system to evolve to completely new attractors with different statistical properties. Consequently, such systems exhibit an infinite number of asymptotic attractors: some stationary, some periodic, and some chaotic. The complexity of the behavior is visualized by plotting the long-term attractors versus the initial conditions in simulations. Surprisingly, these plots closely resemble bifurcation diagrams; however, the initial conditions cannot be regarded as a bifurcation parameter. Moreover, all of the transitions between different attractors can be observed by simply varying the initial value of one of the state variables.

Chemical systems are among the most studied examples of dynamical systems exhibiting complex behavior, chaos, and pattern formation [23,24]. The dynamics giving rise to extreme multistability might account for the reported irreproducibility of behavior in the chlorate-thiosulfate reaction [25] and in the chlorite-iodide reaction [26]. In both of these reactions, the behavior varies from experiment to experiment under the same set of experimental conditions. The inability to reproduce the dynamical behavior for the same set of conditions, despite care to ensure reproducibility, suggests that the inherent dynamics of these systems is playing some role. In a modified three-variable autocatalator model, Wang et al. [27] showed that this system can possess infinitely many coexisting attractors when a “buffer step” is included in the chemical kinetics, where two reactants are produced and consumed in the same processes, a mechanism similar to that proposed by Epstein and coworkers for the chlorate-thiosulfate and chlorite-iodide reactions [25,26].

In this paper, we investigate two coupled chemical systems, each possessing an infinite number of coexisting attractors. In contrast to the autocatalator model studied in Ref. [27], the extreme multistability arises from the coupling of two subsystems. We show how the coupling, in two different schemes, yields extreme multistability behavior. In both cases, this phenomenon is associated with the generalized synchronization of the two coupled subsystems as well as the emergence of a conserved quantity. In the first coupling scheme, the conserved quantity is determined by a conservation law of all intermediate species, weighted by their reaction time constants, and is therefore given by the initial concentrations of these intermediates. For the second coupling scheme, this conserved quantity appears dynamically in the long-term limit as \( t \to \infty \), and the dependence on initial conditions is therefore more complex. We show in both cases that, due to the presence of a conserved quantity, the state space is divided into submanifolds, each with an attractor associated with the value of the conserved quantity. Since the conserved quantity can take any real value, we obtain infinitely many attractors.

The paper is organized as follows: We begin with a review of the three-variable autocatalator model in Sec. II and present an analysis of its qualitative behavior. We then describe the coupling of two three-variable autocatalator systems to yield a six-variable autocatalator system in Sec. III, where we show that the coupled system exhibits extreme multistability characterized by a conserved quantity. We also show that the
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two subsystems exhibit generalized synchronization similar to lag synchronization. In Sec. IV, we check the robustness of the phenomenon of extreme multistability by introducing another coupling scheme. We again find generalized synchronization between the two subsystems, but now characterized by complete synchronization between two pairs of the corresponding variables of the subsystems, while the difference in the third pair obeys a certain constant. This also leads to the appearance of an infinite number of synchronization manifolds. The emerging conserved quantity that defines the synchronization manifold can be used to reduce the six-dimensional system to a three-dimensional system without loss of generality. In addition, we study the robustness of the phenomenon of extreme multistability with respect to a mismatch of the parameters of the two subsystems, making them nonidentical. Finally, we discuss our results in Sec. V.

II. THE THREE-VARIABLE AUTOCATALATOR MODEL

Our investigation is based on a chemical model system that has been used in various studies of chemical oscillations and chaotic dynamics [28–34]. The three-variable autocatalator [35] is based on a two-variable version originally introduced by Gray and Scott [36], which has been used in many studies of the dynamics of chemical oscillations (cf. Refs. [37] and [38] for details). Unlike the two-variable autocatalator, the three-variable model incorporates a second feedback loop and, hence, is capable of exhibiting complex periodic behavior as well as chaos. The model reaction scheme involves the conversion of a precursor reactant $A$ with a constant concentration to a final product $B$ via three intermediates, $X$, $Y$, and $Z$. The six reaction steps of the scheme, with rate constants $k_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, 5$), are as follows:

\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{k_0} X, \quad (R_1) \\
A + Z & \xrightarrow{k_1} X + Z, \quad (R_2) \\
X & \xrightarrow{k_2} Y, \quad (R_3) \\
X + 2Y & \xrightarrow{k_3} 3Y, \quad (R_4) \\
Y & \xrightarrow{k_4} Z, \quad (R_5) \\
Z & \xrightarrow{k_5} B. \quad (R_6)
\end{align*}

Reaction $(R_2)$ in the above system describes an autocatalytic process whereby the intermediate species $Y$ catalyzes its own production. This autocatalysis introduces a nonlinear reaction term that is essential for the oscillatory behavior of the system [36]. The chaotic behavior arises as the result of a second feedback loop through variable $Z$ in reaction $(R_5)$. Note that $Z$ is produced from reaction $(R_3)$ and fed back into the system through reaction $(R_2)$ to catalyze the production of $X$ from $A$. Unlike reaction $(R_4)$, in which $Y$ is an autocatalyst, $Z$ serves as a simple catalyst in reaction $(R_2)$.

Letting $A_0$, $[X]$, $[Y]$, and $[Z]$ be the concentrations of $A$, $X$, $Y$, and $Z$, we write the following rate equations for the time evolution of the variable species:

\begin{align*}
\dot{X} &= k_0 A_0 + k_1 A_0[Z] - k_2[X] - k_3[X][Y]^2, \\
\dot{Y} &= k_2[X] + k_4[X][Y]^2 - k_5[Y], \quad (1)
\end{align*}

where the concentration of precursor $A$ is held constant at $A_0$. Using the dimensionless variables

\begin{align*}
x &= \left(\frac{k_1k_4}{k_2^2}\right)^\tau [X], \quad y = \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right)^\tau [Y], \\
z &= \left(\frac{k_1k_5}{k_2^2}\right)^\tau [Z], \quad \tau = k_2t,
\end{align*}

and the positive dimensionless parameters

\begin{align*}
\mu &= \left(\frac{k_1}{k_0}\right) A_0, \quad \kappa = \left(\frac{k_0 k_5}{k_4 k_1 k_2}\right) \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right)^\tau, \\
\sigma &= \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right), \quad \delta = \left(\frac{k_5}{k_2}\right),
\end{align*}

we obtain the following scaled rate equations [39]:

\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \mu (\kappa + z) - x (1 + y^2), \\
\dot{y} &= \sigma x (1 + y^2) - y, \\
\dot{z} &= y - z. \quad (2)
\end{align*}

Since $\sigma$, $\delta$, and $\kappa$ are combinations of reaction rate constants, these parameters are positive. The parameter that is naturally varied is $\mu \geq 0$, which is a function of the constant concentration $A_0$ of the precursor reactant. Hence, $\mu$ serves as a bifurcation parameter that allows examination of the transitions between different dynamical behaviors.

Let us briefly recall the dynamics of the system and its dependence on the parameters (cf. Ref. [28] for details). We vary only $\mu$ in the interval $0 \leq \mu < 1$, while holding constant the parameters $\kappa = 65$, $\sigma = 5 \times 10^{-5}$, and $\delta = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. As $\mu$ is gradually increased, a number of different dynamical behaviors are observed. We obtain a stable steady state for $0 \leq \mu < 0.016$, a Hopf bifurcation occurs at $\mu = 0.016$, leading to oscillatory behavior, and this is followed by the first period doubling, at $\mu = 0.143$, and the second period doubling, at $\mu = 0.153$. The subsequent period doubling cascade ends in a transition to chaos at $\mu \approx 0.154$. Further increases in $\mu$ lead to a bifurcation sequence from chaos via an inverse period-doubling cascade and a second Hopf bifurcation at $\mu = 0.175$, back to a steady state. Figure 1 shows the bifurcation diagram for system (2).

We note that the three-variable autocatalator model possesses a unique attractor for all values of the bifurcation parameter $\mu$ we consider; that is, all initial conditions converge to a specific attractor for each value of $\mu$. In other words, there is no sign of multistability corresponding to coexisting attractors for any parameter set considered here.

III. TWO COUPLED AUTOCATALATOR MODELS

The dynamical behavior becomes much more complex when two three-variable autocatalator models are coupled in a particular way. To achieve this coupling, we consider two autocatalator subsystems, with variables $X_1, Y_1, Z_1$ and $X_2, Y_2, Z_2$, in which the coupling is realized through $Z_2$ in reaction $(R_8)$ and indirectly through $Z_1$ in reaction $(R_{18})$, since the reactant $E$ is produced from $Z_1$ in reaction $(R_{12})$. [39] for details).
The coupling of the autocatalator subsystems is shown by the following chemical reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{k_0} X_1, \quad (R_7) \\
A + Z_2 & \xrightarrow{k_1} X_1 + Z_2, \quad (R_8) \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{k_2} Y_1, \quad (R_9) \\
X_1 + 2Y_1 & \xrightarrow{k_1} 3Y_1, \quad (R_{10}) \\
Y_1 & \xrightarrow{k_1} Z_1, \quad (R_{11}) \\
Z_1 & \xrightarrow{k_2} E, \quad (R_{12}) \\
A & \xrightarrow{k_0} X_2, \quad (R_{13}) \\
A + Z_2 & \xrightarrow{k_1} X_2 + Z_2, \quad (R_{14}) \\
X_2 & \xrightarrow{k_2} Y_2, \quad (R_{15}) \\
X_2 + 2Y_2 & \xrightarrow{k_1} 3Y_2, \quad (R_{16}) \\
Y_2 & \xrightarrow{k_2} Z_2, \quad (R_{17}) \\
E + Z_2 & \xrightarrow{k_1} F. \quad (R_{18})
\end{align*}
\]

Assuming that \( k_2' \gg k_5 \), we formulate the following dimensionless rate equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \mu(\kappa + z_2) - x_1(1 + y_1^2), \\
\sigma y_1 &= x_1 - y_1 + x_1y_1^2, \\
\delta z_1 &= y_1 - z_1, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \mu(\kappa + z_2) - x_2(1 + y_2^2), \\
\sigma y_2 &= x_2 - y_2 + x_2y_2^2, \\
\delta z_2 &= y_2 - z_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tau = k_2t \),

\[
x_1 = \frac{(k_1k_3)^2}{k_4} [X_1], \quad y_1 = \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right) \frac{1}{\tau} [Y_1],
\]

\[
z_1 = \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right) \frac{1}{\tau} [Z_1].
\]

While the dynamics of a single subsystem possesses a unique attractor as discussed in Sec. II, we now observe a multitude of coexisting attractors. Specifically, the coupled system exhibits extreme multistability; that is, an infinite number of attractors exists for a given set of parameters.

To demonstrate this behavior, we fix the parameters such that the uncoupled three-variable autocatalator exhibits a simple periodic solution \((\mu = 0.157, \kappa = 65, \sigma = 5 \times 10^{-3}, \delta = 2 \times 10^{-3})\), which is established from any set of initial conditions in the three-dimensional state space. Let us now investigate the final state to which the coupled autocatalator system converges, where only positive initial conditions are permitted, since the six variables correspond to chemical concentrations. We proceed by fixing five of six of the initial conditions, \((0.01, 0.1, 0.1, 0.0, y_{02}, 0.0)\), and varying the initial value of \(y_2\) within the interval \(4.0 \leq y_{02} \leq 8\). We then integrate the system using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method with variable step size \([40]\). To ensure that asymptotic behavior is exhibited, the simulations were carried out for at least 10,000 time steps, and only the last one-tenth of each time series was used. Identical behavior was found in simulations with one-half and three-quarters as many time steps, showing that the behavior is asymptotic. We also employed higher-order Runge-Kutta methods (seventh–eighth order) to verify the accuracy of our results.

We find a wide variety of dynamics, ranging from simple periodic motion for large values of \(y_{02}\), to oscillations of different periods for intermediate values, to chaotic behavior for small values of \(y_{02}\). This behavior is shown in Fig. 2, which depicts the maximum values of the amplitude of \(x_1\) (corresponding to a Poincaré section) so that a simple oscillation of period \(T\) appears as a fixed point. In fact, the picture we obtain appears much like a complete bifurcation.

\[
x_2 = \left(\frac{k_1k_3}{k_4}\right)^2 [X_2], \quad y_2 = \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right)^2 [Y_2],
\]

\[
z_2 = \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right) \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right)^2 [Z_2], \quad \mu = k_1A_0k_5,
\]

\[
\kappa = \left(\frac{k_0k_5}{k_1k_4}\right) \left(\frac{k_3}{k_2}\right)^2, \quad \sigma = \left(\frac{k_2}{k_4}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \left(\frac{k_2}{k_5}\right).
\]
diagram for an inverse period-doubling cascade. However, since we do not change any bifurcation parameters, but only the initial conditions, it only resembles a bifurcation diagram. Period doubling is found at \( y_{02} = 7.145 \) (7T → 2T), \( y_{02} = 5.515 \) (2T → 4T), \( y_{02} = 5.165 \) (4T → 8T), and \( y_{02} = 5.095 \) (8T → 16T). Chaotic behavior immersed with periodic windows is evident as well.

One could argue that the number of attractors is finite because there are entire intervals of \( y_{02} \) leading to an oscillation of a certain period, for example, period 2T. However, for each initial condition within this interval, the location of the 2T periodic orbit is slightly different. This means that for any pair of nearby initial conditions, the corresponding final states are never identical. In this sense, we obtain an infinite number of qualitatively different states are never identical. In this sense, we obtain an infinite number of initial conditions gives rise to a particular value and therefore a conserved quantity. However, these manifolds or slices in state space are dense, so that in each arbitrarily close neighborhood of each manifold there is another manifold leading to another attractor. This means that in each arbitrarily close neighborhood of an attractor there are points belonging to another manifold and, hence, to another basin of attraction. As a consequence, all attractors in our system are weak attractors in the Milnor sense [41].

The existence of a conserved quantity allows us to reduce the dimension of the dynamical system by one variable. Substituting \( z_2 \) from Eq. (4) into Eqs. (3) leads to

\[
\dot{x}_1 = \mu(\kappa + (x_1 + \sigma y_1 + \delta z_1) + C - x_2 - \sigma y_2/\delta) - x_1(1 + y_1^2),
\]

\[
\sigma \dot{y}_1 = x_1 - y_1 + x_1 y_1^2,
\]

\[
\delta \dot{z}_1 = y_1 - z_1,
\]

\[
\dot{x}_2 = \mu(\kappa + (x_1 + \sigma y_1 + \delta z_1) - C - x_2 - \sigma y_2/\delta) - x_2(1 + y_2^2),
\]

\[
\sigma \dot{y}_2 = x_2 - y_2 + x_2 y_2^2.
\]

From this rewriting it becomes obvious that the conserved quantity \( C \), and hence the initial condition \( y_{02} \), can indeed be regarded as a bifurcation parameter in the reduced system (5), and Fig. 2 can therefore be interpreted as a bifurcation diagram, in the mathematical sense, exhibiting many known dynamical transitions.

The existence of the conserved quantity also appears when computing Lyapunov exponents to check for chaotic behavior, as shown in Fig. 5. For all initial conditions, we obtain two zero Lyapunov exponents, where the first zero is the usual one corresponding to the Lyapunov exponent along the trajectory, while the second zero corresponds to the existence of a conserved quantity.

Finally, we discuss the relation between the dynamics of the two coupled oscillators. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the two oscillators synchronize with a certain time lag so that the phase retains a fixed difference, while the amplitude difference varies. In Fig. 3(b), we see simple lag synchronization in the periodic case. It is important to note that lag synchronization generally emerges while varying the coupling strength between two oscillators [42]; however, such a change is not possible in this system, where the coupling is realized by
FIG. 4. (Color online) A sampling of the synchronization manifolds as a function of the conserved quantity $C$. Chaotic behavior (light blue), period 4 (red), period 2 (dark blue), and period 1 (magenta) are exhibited for $C = 0.0075, 0.0135, 0.0200,$ and 0.0275, respectively.

chemical reactions. With this restriction in mind, we note that the coupled autocatalators exhibit a type of generalized synchronization with features much like those seen in chaotic synchronization.

IV. ROBUSTNESS OF EXTREME MULTISTABILITY

A. Second coupling scheme

In the previous section, we have shown that extreme multistability can emerge for two three-variable autocatalator systems coupled in a particular way. This coupling leads to the existence of a conserved quantity that appears in the model equations, suggesting that the phenomenon may be rather special and may not occur in any other case. Therefore, we now consider another coupling to demonstrate that different coupling schemes can give rise to extreme multistability. In contrast to the previously considered system, however, the conserved quantity associated with the phenomenon is not contained in the model equations but arises in a nontrivial way as a result of the system dynamics in the long-term limit. The coupling of the two autocatalator subsystems is more complex here than the first coupling scheme, and we describe the six-variable chemical model in the Appendix.

We now turn to the dimensionless model system to demonstrate the extreme multistability and present an analysis of the behavior. Using the same scaling as in the previous section, we obtain the following dimensionless system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \mu(\kappa + z_1) - x_1(1 + y_1^2), \\
\sigma \dot{y}_1 &= x_1(1 + y_1^2) - y_1, \\
\delta \dot{z}_1 &= y_2 - z_1, \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \mu(\kappa + z_2) - x_2(1 + y_2^2), \\
\sigma \dot{y}_2 &= x_2(1 + y_2^2) - y_1, \\
\delta \dot{z}_2 &= y_2 - z_2.
\end{align*}
\]

As with the first coupling scheme, described by (R7)-(R10) and Eqs. (3), we use the parameters $\kappa = 65$, $\sigma = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\delta = 2 \times 10^{-2}$. The bifurcation parameter is set to $\mu = 0.145$, which corresponds to period 2 behavior of the single uncoupled three-variable autocatalator. The coupled six-variable autocatalator exhibits the phenomenon of extreme multistability, as illustrated in Fig. 6, for the initial conditions $(0.01, 0.1, 0.1, 1.0, y_{02}, 0)$ with variable $y_{02}$.

In contrast to the coupled autocatalator system described by Eqs. (3), the new coupling in Eqs. (6) gives rise to a different generalized synchronization between the two subsystems, in which two pairs of the variables, $x_1, x_2$ and $z_1, z_2$, synchronize completely, while the third pair is determined by a constant difference, $c = y_2 - y_1$. Hence, the synchronization is associated with the emergence of a conserved quantity $c$ that characterizes the synchronization manifold. Another difference from the system described by Eqs. (3) is that the conserved quantity $c$ appears as a result of the system dynamics, and it takes its final value only in the long-term limit $t \to \infty$. To justify this statement, let us introduce the new variables $e_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, which are convenient for characterizing synchronization because they describe the deviations from complete synchronization [43]: $e_1 = x_2 - x_1$, $e_2 = y_2 - y_1$, and $e_3 = z_2 - z_1$. These definitions, together with Eqs. (6), yield the following governing equations for the deviations $e_i$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{e}_1 &= \mu e_3 - (1 + y_1^2)e_1, \\
\sigma \dot{e}_2 &= (1 + y_2^2)e_1.
\end{align*}
\]
We find the steady state by simply setting the right-hand side of Eq. (7) to 0 and solving the algebraic equations for \( e^*_1, e^*_2, \) and \( e^*_3, \) where \( e^*_1 \) represents the steady-state value of \( e. \) The system exhibits a single steady state, \((e^*_1,e^*_2,e^*_3) = (0,e^*_2,0),\) where \( e^*_2 \) is a constant that depends on the initial conditions.

We now examine the stability of the steady state by considering the function \( v(e_1,e_2,e_3) = (1+y^3) + 1 \frac{1}{2\delta \mu} e^2_1 + \frac{1}{\delta \mu} e_1 e_3 + e^2_3 \) and showing that it is a Lyapunov function for Eq. (7). For the steady state to be asymptotically stable, we have \( v(e_1,e_2,e_3) > 0 \) and \( dv/dt < 0 \) for \( e_i, \) and \( v = 0 \) and \( dv/dt = 0 \) only for \((e^*_1,e^*_2,e^*_3) = (e^*_1,e^*_2,e^*_3) \) [44]. The Lyapunov function \( v \) satisfies these conditions, since \( v = a e^2_1 + b e_1 e_3 + c e^2_3 \geq 0 \) if \( 4ac - b^2 = \frac{(1+y^3)(1+y^3)}{6\delta \mu^2} \geq 0, \) which is fulfilled for all \( y^1 \) and \( \delta \geq 0. \) Hence, any solution of Eq. (7) converges to this steady state over time, and any perturbation of the system from this steady state asymptotically decays to \((e^*_1,e_2,e^*_3) \to (0,e^*_2,0)\) as \( t \to \infty. \) Consequently, the pairs of variables \((x_1,x_2)\) and \((z_2,z_2)\) that define the differences \( e_1 \) and \( e_3 \) completely synchronize in the long-term limit, and since \( e_1 \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty, \) the second of Eqs. (7) implies that \( e_2 = y = y_1 \to c, \) where \( c \) is a constant which depends on the initial conditions of the full system, Eqs. (6).

The constant \( c \) is a conserved quantity that, in contrast to Eqs. (3) considered in Sec. III, is not given directly by the initial conditions but evolves to its final value upon approaching the asymptotic state and, hence, depends on the initial conditions in a nontrivial way. The value of \( c \) corresponds to the synchronization manifold on which the long-term dynamics takes place. In contrast to the system considered in Sec. III, the schematic picture depicted in Fig. 4 holds only as \( t \to \infty. \) In the long-term limit, the state space is divided into infinitely many synchronization manifolds, each of them corresponding to a particular value of \( c. \) However, the initial condition is not necessarily contained in this manifold, as described above.

This analysis indicates that a new value of \( c \) is obtained for each new set of initial conditions, establishing a constant difference between the remaining pair of variables \((y_1,y_2).\) We can therefore substitute \( y_2 = y_1 + c. \) into the first subsystem and obtain a reduced system that can be further used to explore the extreme multistability for \( t \to \infty: \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \mu (x_1 + z_1) - x_1 (1 + y^2_1), \\
\sigma \dot{y}_1 &= x_1 (1 + y^2_1) - y_1, \\
\delta \dot{z}_1 &= (y_1 + c) - z_1.
\end{align*}
\]

This system is essentially the three-variable autocatalator model with the introduction of an additional parameter \( c, \) which depends on the initial conditions of the full system (6) in a complex manner. The equivalence between the dynamical behavior as a function of the initial condition \( y_0 \) for the full six-dimensional system and the behavior of the reduced three-dimensional system as a function of the conserved quantity \( c \) can be seen in a comparison of the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 7 to the initial conditions diagram in Fig. 6. The diagrams are in complete correspondence. We emphasize that Fig. 6 represents the long-term behavior for different initial conditions, which resembles a bifurcation diagram, while Fig. 7 is a bifurcation diagram in the mathematical sense, since \( c \) is a bifurcation parameter for the reduced system, (8).

Figure 8 shows time series for chaotic and period 1 behavior of the long-term state for the full six-dimensional system. These plots demonstrate the nontrivial dependence of the final
value of the conserved quantity \( c \) on the initial conditions. Because there is a relaxation of the system dynamics to the synchronization manifold corresponding to the conserved quantity \( c = y_2 - y_1 \), its value is not the same as the initial difference, \( y_{02} - y_{01} \).

Computing the Lyapunov exponents for the coupled six-variable autocatalator model (6) reveals a pattern similar to that for Eqs. (3) considered in Sec. III. Due to the existence of the conserved quantity, we again find two zero Lyapunov exponents, as shown in Fig. 9.

B. Nonidentical coupled systems

We have assumed in our analysis of the coupled autocatalator systems in Secs. III and IV that the three-variable subsystems are identical, being described by the same set of parameters. This is, of course, a major assumption, since systems in nature are typically not identical but usually have at least some small mismatch in the parameters. The question arises whether extreme multistability is a robust phenomenon that also occurs when there is a mismatch in the parameters. For chemical systems, a mismatch in the parameters can be interpreted as a mismatch in the rate constants for the chemical reactions. We have examined parameter mismatches in the six-variable coupled autocatalator Eqs. (3) by varying \( \kappa, \mu, \sigma \) and, \( \delta \) from 0 to 0.5% of their values. To obtain the mismatched parameter values, we scaled each of the dimensionless parameter groupings to reflect the effect of the individual rate constants lumped into the parameter. We first note that the bifurcations in the bifurcation diagrams shift with changing parameters such that the period doubling cascade becomes smaller. For the larger parameter mismatches, only period 1 or chaotic solutions survive. We have examined the long-term dynamics exhibited with 0.01% and 0.05% parameter mismatches to determine whether extreme multistability is possible without identical subsystems. Our investigation suggests that the number of qualitatively different attractors may no longer tend to infinity as the parameter mismatch increases, as shown in Figs. 10 and 11. We conclude that extreme multistability is not fundamentally dependent on the coupled subsystems having identical parameters; however, the behavior is much more likely to occur when the subsystems are (or are almost) identical.

V. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated the phenomenon of extreme multistability using two coupled chemical systems. For two couplings, the systems exhibit an infinite number of attractors as their asymptotic dynamical behavior. Depending on the initial conditions, many kinds of attractors, for example, fixed points, periodic orbits, and chaotic orbits, are obtained. To explain this phenomenon, we have shown that extreme multistability is closely related to the emergence of a conserved quantity. The conserved quantity may appear due to a particular coupling scheme that gives rise to system variables that are no longer independent. In this case, the value of the conserved quantity is determined directly by the initial conditions. For another choice of the coupling, the conserved quantity emerges from the dynamics in the long-term limit. The latter case is more complex, as the conserved quantity appears only when the trajectory reaches the attractor.

The dynamical behavior of the coupled systems is characterized by two properties of extreme multistability. (i) The dynamics takes place on a complex manifold in state space that is determined by the value of a conserved quantity. In the absence of perturbations, the system trajectory remains on this manifold. Hence, in the limit \( t \to \infty \), extreme multistability can be interpreted in terms of a division of the state space into infinitely many manifolds on which the dynamics takes place. (ii) Extreme multistability is accompanied by the appearance of a generalized synchronization between the coupled subsystems. The emergence of a conserved quantity in the long-term limit allows for a model reduction of the coupled system, Eqs. (6). Since the dynamics for \( t \to \infty \) takes place on a hypersurface in state space, which is determined by the value of the conserved quantity, the model system can be reduced to a new model in which the conserved quantity serves as a bifurcation parameter in the classical sense. This model reduction makes clear that infinitely many attractors occur, since the variation of this new bifurcation parameter gives rise to an infinite cascade of period doublings in the transition to chaos. Extreme multistability contains periodic orbits of all periods.

The existence of a conserved quantity resembles the dynamics of Hamiltonian systems. However, we emphasize that all of the systems considered here are dissipative and,
hence, possess attractors, in contrast to Hamiltonian systems, which only exhibit marginally stable orbits. The conserved quantity in Hamiltonian systems, for example, energy, has a fixed value. This is also true for the system described by Eqs. (3); however, the conserved quantity for the system described by Eqs. (6) emerges during the time evolution and its value is fixed only for $t \to \infty$.

Another issue to be addressed is the plausibility of our chemical models, since the corresponding reactions in the subsystems have the same rate constants. It is difficult to imagine two different chemical reactions having exactly the same rate constants, unless the corresponding chemical species are optical isomers of one another. However, we have demonstrated that a small variation in the rate constant values may be imposed without the disappearance of extreme multistability. It has been demonstrated in the coupled Lorenz system that a loss of extreme multistability occurs when the parameter mismatch is larger than $\approx 0.1\%$ [4]. Hence, we conclude that extreme multistability is likely to occur only in almost-identical coupled subsystems.

We have studied two different coupling schemes for the autocatalator model, both leading to extreme multistability. To generalize the approach, we attempted to determine a generic prescription for developing systems with infinitely many attractors. Such a generalization has remained elusive, however, in our studies. There is a variety of factors to take into account. These include symmetry and asymmetry, coupling both subsystems through a single variable, coupling both subsystems through two variables, selecting coupling variables from any of the equations involved in the system, etc. Considering these factors, we have developed a number of different couplings for the autocatalator system. Some of the coupled models exhibit extreme multistability, and some do not. We generally find that symmetry and asymmetry do not appear to be of major importance for extreme multistability. We also find that coupling through a single variable fails to yield extreme multistability. Hence, we believe that the coupling should involve at least two variables.

Apart from the coupling, we may also try to generalize the notion of extreme multistability by considering the nature of the original subsystems. Here, we conjecture that a requirement for extreme multistability is chaos or chaotic subsystems. This is certainly a requirement for an infinite number of qualitatively different states, since the period-doubling cascade to chaos is the characteristic that gives rise to this feature in all systems known to exhibit extreme multistability. We also carried out a search for an initial conditions dependence of the qualitative and quantitative states in coupled oscillatory systems, specifically the two-variable autocatalator; however, we have found no anomalous dynamical behavior.

As the last point, we address the robustness of extreme multistability against noise, which is inevitable in natural systems. For multistable systems possessing a large number of coexisting attractors, it has been shown that noisy dynamics can be viewed as a combination of two phases of motion, where the first phase is characterized by a motion around the attractor and the second phase corresponds to a jump from one attractor to another [45]. The duration of these two phases of motion is irregular, and the overall dynamics appears as a hopping process between different attractors. For systems exhibiting extreme multistability, we also observe this hopping as noise of a certain strength drives the system to move from one synchronization manifold to another one. Almost all perturbations lead to changes in the value of the conserved quantity and, therefore, to a change in the long-term dynamics. The exception, of course, is a perturbation in the values of the variables that preserves the value of the conserved quantity, for example, a perturbation that changes $y_1$ and $y_2$ equally in Eqs. (3), so that the value of the conserved quantity $C$ remains the same. However, this argument does not apply to the system described by Eqs. (6) because of its nontrivial relationship between the initial conditions and the final value of the conserved quantity $c$. Nevertheless, each system in any particular long-term final state possesses a manifold containing an infinite number of initial conditions that give rise to this final-state attractor.

Extreme multistability is an unusual type of multistability behavior. It appears when two identical systems are coupled in a particular way so that a conserved quantity emerges. While the phenomenon has not been shown to be general in the usual sense, we now know that it appears in chemical model systems as well as in mathematical models such as the coupled Lorenz equations. Although the chemistry of these models is somewhat complex, each step is reasonable and follows from simple mass action kinetics. We are convinced that many systems exhibiting chaotic behavior will exhibit extreme multistability when two such systems are appropriately coupled.
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APPENDIX

The chemical coupling giving rise to Eqs. (6) is described here. The model involves the conversion of a chemical precursor $A$ to a final product $E$ through six chemical intermediates $X_i$, $Y_i$, and $Z_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). This occurs in two subsystems with an intermediate from each subsystem involved in the other subsystem, comparable to the model $(R_7)$–$(R_{18})$ described by Eqs. (3). In the course of the conversion, two products, $C$ and $D$, are formed that do not participate in the dynamics, as well as the main product $E$. We therefore do not include the time evolution of these species in deriving system (A1), below.

Defining $k_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$), $k'_i$, and $k''_i$ as the rate constants, the chemical representation of the model follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \quad \xrightarrow{k_0} X_1, \quad (R_{19}) \\
A + Z_1 & \quad \xrightarrow{k_1} X_1 + Z_1, \quad (R_{20}) \\
X_1 & \quad \xrightarrow{k_i} Y_1, \quad (R_{21}) \\
X_1 + 2Y_1 & \quad \xrightarrow{k'_i} 3Y_1, \quad (R_{22}) \\
B + Y_1 & \quad \xrightarrow{k'_i} C, \quad (R_{23}) \\
B + Y_2 & \quad \xrightarrow{k''_i} Z_1 + Z_2 + Y_2, \quad (R_{24})
\end{align*}
\]
The concentrations of $A$ and $B$ are assumed to be constant at $A_0$ and $B_0$, and we assume that $k_4 > k_2 B_0 = k_4$. Reaction (R22) describes an autocatalytic process, in which $Y_1$ catalyzes its own production. Since $Y_1$ is produced in reaction (R22) and is used to produce $Z_2$ in reaction (R29), we can also imagine an indirect autocatalysis occurring in this reaction. Unlike the individual three-variable subsystems, each of which has simple feedback loops, there are more complex feedback loops in the coupled system. For example, $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ are produced in reaction (R23) and fed back into the system through reactions (R20) and (R22), respectively, to catalyze the production of $X_1$ from $A$ and the production of $X_2$ from $A$. These feedbacks contribute to the complex dynamics. It is worth noting that while $B$ is constant with the value $B_0$, $C$ is generated in reaction (R23) and rapidly consumed in reaction (R30). The rate of reaction (R20) is therefore determined by the rate of reaction (R25). Consequently, we use $k_2 Y_1 = k_4 C Y_1$ instead of $k_4 C Y_1$ in the corresponding model. This feedback also contributes to the coupling of the two subsystems. For the remaining modes of coupling, note that $Y_2$ appears in reaction (R24), while $Y_1$ appears in reaction (R29).

Let $A_0$, $[X_1]$, $[Y_1]$, $[Z_1]$, $[X_2]$, $[Y_2]$, and $[Z_2]$ be the concentrations of $A$, $X_1$, $Y_1$, $Z_1$, $X_2$, $Y_2$, and $Z_2$, respectively. Then using the law of mass action we write the following system of first-order ordinary differential equations for the above model:

$$ \begin{align*}
\dot{[X_1]} &= k_0 A_0 + k_1 A_0 [Z_1] - k_2 [X_1] - k_3 [X_1] [Y_1]^2,
\dot{[Y_1]} &= k_2 [X_1] + k_3 [X_1] [Y_1]^2 - k_4 [Y_1],
\dot{[Z_1]} &= k_4 [Y_2] - k_5 [Z_1].
\end{align*} \tag{A1}$$

$$ \begin{align*}
\dot{[X_2]} &= k_0 A_0 + k_1 A_0 [Z_2] - k_2 [X_2] - k_3 [X_2] [Y_2]^2,
\dot{[Y_2]} &= k_2 [X_2] + k_3 [X_2] [Y_2]^2 - k_4 [Y_1],
\dot{[Z_2]} &= k_4 [Y_2] - k_5 [Z_2].
\end{align*} \tag{A2}$$

We note that the law of mass action kinetics is obeyed; however, unlike model (R7)–(R18), giving rise to Eqs. (3), model (R10)–(R13), giving rise to Eqs. (A1), from which Eqs. (6) follow, includes chemical steps that may not be plausible. Nevertheless, we present this model to explore the unusual extreme multistability involving an evolution of the conserved quantity.